Richard W. Riley College of Education, Sport, and Human Sciences, Winthrop University MATHEMATICS INTERNSHIP I EVALUATION REPORT

| | | | 1 | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|
| Teacher Candidate Name: | | | WU ID#: | | , | |
| School: | | | Grade: | | Date: | |
| Mentor Teacher Name: | | | University | y Supervisor Nar | me: | |
| | | | | | | |
| OBSERVATIONS | | Lesson Content/Topi | c | | | Date |
| University Supervisor | | | | | | |
| Offiversity Supervisor | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| Mentor Teacher | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Site-Based Observer | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| EVALUATION OUTCOMES | Below Expectations | Meets Expectation | ns I | Exceeds Expectati | ions | 1 |
| Short Range Planning | ' <u></u> | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 1 |
| Instruction | | | | | | 1 |
| Environment | | | | | | 1 |
| Professionalism | | | | | | 1 |
| Mathematics Education | | | | | |] |
| A teacher candidate must score at The teacher candidate is | a "Meets Expectations" ratin Unsatisfactory | ng or above in each perforr Satisfactory | mance domair | n to be scored as s | Satisfacto | ory. |
| With my signature below, I attest presented in the report. | to attending an introductory | y meeting, participating in | the midterm/ | final [circle one] d | evaluatio | on conference, and agreeing with the data/rat |
| | | | | | | |
| Teacher Candidate | Mento | or Teacher | | Universi | tv Supery | visor |

Directions: The **Math Education Internship I Evaluation Scoring Rubric** provides detailed descriptions for teacher candidates at each of the following levels: **EE***= "Exceeds Expectations," **ME**= "Meets Expectations," and **BE**= "Below Expectations." **NO** = "No Opportunity" (*NA in the SL&L system*); this column should be used if a candidate has not yet had the opportunity to demonstrate a competency. Please highlight the appropriate rating and then provide rating explanations under "Supporting Documentation and Evidence."

| _ | nain 1: SHORT-RANGE NNING | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|--|---|--|----------|---|
| 1.1 | TC creates standards-based lessons in accordance with the requirements of the discipline, including learning objectives that are measurable, rigorous, and align with the standards. InTASC 4, 7; CAEP 1.3, 1.4 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Standards and Objectives); Planning (Instructional Plans; Assessment) | Lesson plans do not align with unit goals. Some learning experiences included in the lessons do not meet any identified objectives or are developmentally inappropriate. Learning experiences are passive and do not ensure student engagement. Lesson plans do not meet expectations of the discipline. | Lesson plans are aligned with long-range goals and learning experiences are designed to achieve stated objectives. Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline. Learning objectives are measurable, appropriately challenging, and align with the standards. | Lesson plans are aligned with long-range goals and learning experiences are designed to achieve stated objectives, and ensure student engagement. Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline. Learning objectives are measurable, appropriately challenging, and align with the standards. | | |
| | Lesson plans meet expectations of NCTM 4b) Plan and create lesson in which students are actively engineering. | is that are developmentally approp | oriate, sequential, and chal | lenging learning opportunities | s ground | ded in mathematics education research |
| 1.2 | TC designs, selects, or modifies assessments that are aligned with lesson objectives. InTASC 6, 7; CAEP 1.2 SCTS 4.0 – Planning (Instructional Plans; Assessment) | Assessments do not align with lesson objectives, or no assessments are identified. | Lesson assessments align with lesson objectives and occur at least once during the lesson. | Informal and formal lesson assessments are appropriate (for age and knowledge level), align with lesson objectives, and occur at various points during the lesson. | | |
| | Lesson plans meet expectations of NCTM 3f) Plan, select, implement, all students. | , , | - | | g on ma | athematical proficiencies essential for |
| 1.3 | TC uses data from formative, diagnostic, and summative | TC does not gather or examine student performance | TC uses student performance data | TC gathers and uses learner performance data | | |

| 12.19 | .23 | T. | 1 | 1 | |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | assessments to guide | data or does not use data | (from previous | from a variety of | |
| | instructional planning. | appropriately in the planning | assessments or pre- | assessments to modify | |
| | | process. | assessment) to guide | lesson objectives and | |
| | InTASC 6, 7; CAEP 1.2 | | instructional strategies. | instructional plans. | |
| | SCTS 4.0 – Planning | | | | |
| | (Instructional Plans; | | | | |
| | Assessment) | | | | |
| 1.4 | TC plans differentiated | Lesson plans do not include | Lesson plans include | Lesson plans include | |
| | instruction to address diverse | strategies for differentiation | general strategies for | specific strategies for | |
| | learning needs. | or meet requirements | addressing diverse | differentiation of | |
| | | identified in IEPs and/or 504 | learning needs. Plans | teaching | |
| | InTASC 1, 7 | plans. | meet requirements | procedures/pacing to | |
| | CAEP 1.4 | | identified in IEPs | address diverse learning | |
| | SCTS 4.0 – Planning | | and/or 504 plans, as | needs. Plans meet | |
| | (Instructional Plans) | | applicable. | requirements identified | |
| | , | | | in IEPs and/or 504 plans, | |
| | | | | as applicable. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | <u></u> | | | |

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for short-range planning | | | |

| Describe at least one short-range planning strength: | |
|--|--|
| List at least one short- range planning goal: | |

| Don | nain 2: INSTRUCTION | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2.1 | TC effectively communicates appropriately challenging expectations to learners. CAEP 1.4 SCTS 4.0- Instruction (Standards and Objectives; Activities and Materials); Environment (Expectations) | TC does not communicate expectations for what students will know and be able to by the end of the lesson. | TC communicates appropriately challenging expectations for what students will know and be able to do by the end of the lesson. | TC communicates appropriately challenging expectations for what learners will know and be able to do by the end of the lesson (or lesson series), while explaining the purpose and relevance of the content. | | |
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations of NCTM 4d) Demonstrates equitable a | | | regular basis: | | |
| 2.2 | TC differentiates instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners. CAEP 1.4 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating Students; Activities and Materials; Teacher Content Knowledge; Teacher Knowledge of Students) | TC does not attempt to differentiate instruction. | TC uses general strategies to differentiate instruction in an attempt to meet the needs of diverse learners. | TC uses a variety of specific instructional strategies for meeting the need of diverse learners. | | |
| 2.3 | TC demonstrates thorough command of the content taught and appropriately addresses learner questions and misunderstandings related to the content. InTASC 4; CAEP 1.3; SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Presenting Instructional Content; Academic Feedback; Teacher Content Knowledge; Teacher Knowledge of Students) | TC presentation of content has misinformation and lacks clarity. | TC presentation of content is clear and accurate. TC correctly addresses learner questions and misconceptions. | TC's presentation of content is clear, precise, and accurate. The TC uses content knowledge to field questions, make connections, and address misconceptions. | | |

| 12.19. | | ı | | 1 | - | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.4 | TC measures student mastery of learning by using assessment strategies with established performance criteria. InTASC 6 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Standards and Objectives) | TC does not establish performance criteria for assessments and/or does not conduct assessment of learning. | TC measures student mastery of learning through assessments (e.g. checks for understanding, quizzes, probing questions) with established performance criteria. | TC uses multiple assessments (e.g., checks for understanding, quizzes, probing questions) with established performance criteria throughout instruction to measure mastery of learning. In addition, candidate provides opportunities for individual learners to self- check during the lesson. | | |
| | | Col. In the last of | | | | |
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations NCTM 3g) Monitor students' prograssessments. | | | = | g and abilit | y using formative and summative |
| 2.5 | TC provides specific and timely instructional feedback to students pertaining to stated outcomes. InTASC 6 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating Students; Academic Feedback) | TC rarely provides feedback or feedback is unrelated to lesson objectives. | TC provides general instructional feedback to students related to lesson objectives. Feedback is based on class-wide or individual responses. | TC provides specific , corrective, and timely instructional feedback to students related to lesson objectives. Feedback is based on class-wide or individual responses. | | |
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations NCTM 3g) Monitor students' prograssessments. | | | | g and abilit | y using formative and summative |
| 2.6 | TC uses appropriate voice tone and pacing to manage instruction/environment effectively. SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing; Presenting Instructional Content) | TC voice tone is inappropriate for managing instruction/environment. Lesson pacing interferes with student learning. | TC demonstrates effective teaching and communication skills through appropriate voice tone and lesson pacing/sequence. | TC demonstrated effective teaching and communication skills by varying voice tone and changing the pacing/sequence of the presentation based upon student feedback during lessons. | | |

| 2.7 | TC implements strategies that | TC makes no attempt | TC addresses the | TC uses strategies that | |
|-----|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | address the needs of learners from | to integrate cultural | needs of students | address the needs of | |
| | diverse cultural and linguistic | and linguistic | from diverse cultural | individual learners from | |
| | backgrounds. | backgrounds into | and/or linguistic | diverse cultural | |
| | | instruction or learning | backgrounds with | backgrounds including | |
| | CAEP 1.4 | experiences. | general strategies or | strategies such as | |
| | SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating | | learning experiences. | providing examples that | |
| | Students; Teacher Knowledge of | | | are relevant to specific | |
| | Students) | | | culture. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis:

NCTM 4c) Incorporate knowledge of individual differences and the cultural and language diversity that exists within classrooms and include culturally relevant perspectives as a means to motivate and engage students

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for instruction | | | |
| | | | |

| Describe at least one instruction strength: | | |
|---|--|--|
| List at least one instruction goal: | | |

| omain 3: ENVIRONMENT | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| TC maintains a physically safe educational environment that is conducive to learning. SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing); Environment (Environment) | TC does not follow safety procedures, which results or could result in lack of learning and/or student harm. | TC follows the mentor teacher's procedures to physically arrange the classroom to avoid distractions and ensure safe use of materials. | TC follows safety procedures and makes adjustments to the physical environment to promote learning, avoid distractions, and ensure safe use of materials. | | |
| TC maintains a respectful, inclusive environment through which interactions (teacher/student, student/student) acknowledge and celebrate diverse backgrounds and cultures. InTASC 2 SCTS 4.0 – Environment (Respectful Culture) | TC responds to students with bias toward students who differ by gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or social economic status. TC tolerates bullying and/or disrespectful peer interactions. | TC addresses student difficulties, concerns, and questions without bias towards gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or social economic status. TC works to establish a bully-free environment and foster interactions which exhibit awareness of students' background and culture and are free from unhealthy conflict, sarcasm, and put-downs. | TC responds positively to learner difficulties, concerns, and questions without bias towards gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status. TC establishes a bully-free environment and considers proactive measures to foster classroom community and respect for diversity which acknowledge and celebrate all students' background and culture. TC ensures all interactions are free from unhealthy conflict, sarcasm, and putdowns. | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis: **NCTM 4c)** Incorporate knowledge of individual differences and the cultural and language diversity that exists within classrooms and include culturally relevant perspectives as a means to motivate and engage students.

| Don | nain 3: ENVIRONMENT | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|---|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 3.3 | TC creates environments that promote positive social interaction and collaboration in the learning environment. InTASC 3 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing; Activities and Materials; Grouping Students); Environment (Environment; Respectful Culture) | TC rarely uses strategies that promote student social interaction. | TC implements instructional activities (partner and group work, procedures, project-based learning, etc.) to support positive social interactions and productive teamwork. | TC structures instructional and non-instructional routines and activities (partner and group work, procedures, project-based learning, etc.) to support positive social interactions, productive teamwork, and collaborative learning. | | |
| 3.4 | TC manages instructional routines and transitions between activities or classes in an efficient and orderly manner. InTASC 3 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Activities and Materials) Environment (Expectations; Managing Student Behavior) | TC implements ineffective procedures for managing routines and transitions resulting in reduced active engagement in instructional activities and increased problem behavior. | TC follows the mentor teacher's procedures for managing instructional and non-instructional routines (e.g., bathroom breaks, sharpening pencils, turning in work), and transitions. | TC follows and makes appropriate adjustments to the mentor teacher's procedures for managing instructional and noninstructional routines (e.g., bathroom breaks, sharpening pencils, turning in work), and transitions. | | |

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for environment | | | |

| Describe at least one environment strength: | |
|---|--|
| List at least one environment goal: | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|--|--|--|----|---|
| 4.1 | TC effectively co-teaches with the mentor teacher. | TC does not engage in coteaching with the mentor teacher. | TC follows the guidance of the mentor teacher to plan and implement cotaught lessons. | TC collaborates with the mentor teacher to plan and implement co-taught lessons. | | |
| 4.2 | | and collaborative learning tha | t draws upon research in mat | thematics education to inform pr | | nhance learning opportunities for all and advance their development as a |
| 4.3 | TC demonstrates effective verbal communication that is appropriate for the intended audiences and uses standard English. | TC's verbal communication is not appropriate for students and/or professionals and/or does not reflect standard English conventions. | TC's verbal communication is appropriate for students and professionals and reflects standard English conventions. | TC's verbal communication integrates professional vocabulary which is appropriate for students and professionals and reflect standard English conventions. | | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|---|--|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 4.4 | TC demonstrates effective external written communication that is appropriate for the intended audience and uses standard English. | TC's external written communication is not appropriate for students and/or professionals and/or does not reflect standard English conventions (i.e., frequent or substantive errors in writing mechanics and/or sentence structure). | TC's external written communication is appropriate for students and caregivers and reflects standard English conventions (i.e., few errors in writing mechanics and sentence structure). | TC's external written communication is clear and appropriate for varied audiences. Communication reflects standard English conventions (i.e., no errors in writing mechanics and sentence structure) and includes proficient use of professional language. | | |
| 4.5 | TC adheres to the university and school/district rules, Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators, and FERPA requirements and acts appropriately when faced with legal issues with children. ** InTASC 9 | TC violates one or more of the school/district rules, Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators, or FERPA requirements, and/or the TC's lack of actions on legal issues involves harm to the children served. | TC's conduct conforms to school/district rules as well as the Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators. The TC observes confidentiality of student information (FERPA). The TC acts appropriately when faced with legal issues facing the children he/she serves. | TC meets all requirements at the acceptable level and demonstrates an advocacy position when discussing or acting upon legal issues related to students. | | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 4.6 | TC demonstrates professional responsibility (e.g. preparedness, responsibility, initiative, time management) SCTS 4.0 – Environment (Environment) Professionalism (Growing and Developing Professionally) | TC is not prepared to teach each day. Lesson plans may be missing or incomplete; materials may not be organized in advance; others (assistants or colleagues) may not be informed of their instructional roles for the lesson. Lack of preparedness and initiative negatively impacts student learning opportunities. | TC comes to the classroom prepared for each day. TC prepares plans in advance and discusses them with the mentor teacher. TC organizes materials and activities in advance. | TC comes to the classroom prepared for each day and displays a high degree of organization. Plans are prepared in advance and discussed with the mentor teacher. TC organizes materials and activities in advance and takes initiative for various classroom responsibilities. | | |
| 4.7 | TC is receptive to constructive criticism from mentor teacher, university supervisor, and administrators and incorporates feedback. SCTS 4.0 – Professionalism (Growing and Developing Professionally) | TC is argumentative, oppositional, or defensive when receiving constructive feedback or professional learning. TC makes no attempt to incorporate appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | TC receives feedback in a mature manner and appropriately incorporates appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | TC seeks and is receptive to constructive feedback. TC receives and appropriately incorporates appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | | |

| Domair | n 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|----|---------------------------------------|
| eval prof InT/ SCT | uses self-reflection to luate and improve fessional practice. ASC 9 S 4.0 – Professionalism flecting on Teaching) | TC's reflections include general statements not supported by specific examples and plans for change are not included. | TC's reflections include specific statements supported by evidence (assessment data, observation, student behavior, artifacts, etc.) to improve instruction and student learning. | TC's reflections include specific statements supported by evidence (assessment data, observation, student behavior, artifacts, etc.). Reflections included detailed explanations of strategies that will be used to improve instruction and student learning. | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis:

NCTM 6b) Engage in continuous and collaborative learning that draws upon research in mathematics education to inform practice; enhance learning opportunities for all students' mathematical knowledge development; involve colleagues, other school professionals, families, and various stakeholders; and advance their development as a reflective practitioner.

^{**} A Below Expectations rating on this item may result in failure for the internship.

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for professionalism | | | |

| Describe at least one professionalism strength: | |
|--|--|
| List at least one professionalism goal: | |

| DOMAIN 5: Mathematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|--|--|--|---|----|---------------------------------------|
| 5.1 NCTM 4c) Incorporate Mathematics-Specific Tools. Candidates select mathematics-specific tools, including technology, to support students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics and to integrate tools into instruction. | The TC selects mathematics-specific tools, including technology, to support students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics but is unable or unsuccessful in integrating tools into instruction. | The TC selects mathematics-specific tools, including technology, to support a full range of students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics and integrates tools into instruction | The TC selects mathematics-specific tools, including technology, to support each and every students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics and integrates tools into instruction | | |
| 5.2 NCTM 4d) Use Mathematical Representations. Candidates select and use mathematical representations to engage students in examining understandings of mathematics concepts and the connections to other representations. | The TC selects mathematical representations to support students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics but is unable or unsuccessful in implementing or connecting representations during instruction. | The TC selects mathematical representations to support students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics and is able to successfully implement or connecting representations during instruction. | The TC selects mathematical representations to support each and every students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics and is able to successfully implement and connecting representations during instruction. | | |
| 5.3 NCTM 4f) Develop Conceptual Understanding and Procedural Fluency. Candidates use conceptual understanding to build procedural fluency for students through instruction that includes explicit connections between concepts and procedures. | The TC designs instruction that includes both conceptual understanding and procedural fluency, but the conceptual understanding does not serve as a foundation for or is not connected to developing procedural fluency. | implements instruction that uses conceptual understanding to build procedural fluency, including explicit connections between concepts and procedures. | The TC designs and implements instruction that uses conceptual understanding to build procedural fluency, including explicit connections between concepts and procedures. The TC facilitates students making connections between procedures and | | |

| 12 | 1 | Ω | าว |
|----|-----|------------|----|
| ᅶ | . т | フ . | 23 |

| 12.13.23 | | | concepts. | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| 5.4 NCTM 5c) Modify Instruction. Candidates use the evidence of student learning of individual students, the class as a whole, and subgroups of students disaggregated by demographic categories to analyze the effectiveness of their instruction with respect to these groups. Candidates propose adjustments to instruction to improve student learning for each and every student based on the analysis. | analyze the effectiveness of their instruction and proposes adjustments to instruction, but those adjustments are | The TC uses evidence of student learning to analyze the effectiveness of their instruction and proposes adjustments to instruction that are explicitly connected to the analysis of the data for selected students, the class as a whole, and subgroups of students disaggregated by demographic categories when directed. | The TC consistently uses evidence of student learning to analyze the effectiveness of their instruction and propose adjustments to instruction that are explicitly connected to the analysis of the data and address the learning needs of each individual student, the class as a whole, and subgroups of students disaggregated by demographic categories without prompting. | |
| Environments. Candidates seek to create more equitable learning environments by identifying beliefs about teaching and learning mathematics, and associated classroom practices that produce | and classroom practices that produce inequitable mathematical learning experiences and outcomes for students. The TC identifies beliefs that produce equitable mathematical learning experiences and outcomes for students | and classroom practices that produce equitable and inequitable mathematical learning experiences and outcomes for students. The TC seeks out information to increase equitable practices and/or eliminate inequitable practices to further mathematical learning | The TC identifies personal beliefs, classroom practices, and systemic structures that produce equitable and inequitable mathematical learning experiences and outcomes for students. The TC seeks out information to increase equitable practices and/or eliminate inequitable practices to further mathematical learning for individual students. The TC demonstrates ways to help traditionally marginalized students | |

| 12.19.23 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | experience success. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5.6 NCTM 6b) Promote Positive Mathematical Identities. Candidates reflect on their impact on students' mathematical identities and develop professional learning goals that promote students' positive mathematical identities | The TC reflects on their impact on students' mathematical identities and develops professional learning goals that promote students' positive mathematical identities but without identifying specific strategies or resources. | The TC reflects on their impact on students' mathematical identities and develops professional learning goals that promote students' positive mathematical identities, including specific strategies for meeting these goals. | The TC reflects on their impact on individual student's mathematical identities and develops professional learning goals that promote students' positive mathematical identities, including specific strategies and professional resources for meeting these goals. | | |

| DOMAIN 5: Mathematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | |
|---------------------------------|----|----|-----|----|--|
| | | | | _ | |

^{*} Both "Meets Expectations" and "Exceeds Expectations" are considered acceptable work for a teacher candidate. The "Exceeds Expectations" category should be used to distinguish work in the top 2% of all teacher candidates.

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Overall rating for mathematics education | | | |
| Describe at least one mathematics education strength: | | | |
| List at least one mathematics education goal: | | | |